### **KEYNOTE** Improving Radiology Turnaround Times: Al Enhanced Efficiencies in the Lung Cancer Pathway



### SUSAN SHELMERDINE

Consultant Paediatric Radiologist Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust













### Improving Radiology Turnaround Times: Al enhanced efficiencies in the Lung Cancer Pathway

Intelligent Health UK – 24 May 2023

### **Dr Susan C. Shelmerdine**

Research Lead, SW London AI Imaging Group

Consultant Paediatric Radiologist, GOSH Hon. Assoc Prof, GOS UCL ICH

susan.shelmerdine@gosh.nhs.uk

@SusieShels





### Lung Cancer is a BIG problem!







### National Optimal Lung Cancer Pathway, NOLCP

#### 28 day pathway



All investigations for confirmation of lung cancer/type by 28 days from triage

NHS England/NICE Briefing Paper – May 2019

### Can an AI enabled pathway improve the NOLCP?

- Improve % of patients getting a CT exam?
- Improve time to getting a CT exam (<72hrs)?
- Improve time to getting CXR and CT reports?

### How do staff feel about introduction of AI?

### **Epsom & St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust**

# Catchment population of 490,000 in SWL/Surrey

(incidence of lung ca in London is 140/100,000p ~ 700 pax pa)



Epsom Hospital, Surrey



### **Epsom & St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust**

### **Radiology Dept Staff**

- Total = 195 staff altogether (medical/non-medical)
- Radiologists = 25 ; Reporting radiographers = 4

### In prior audit 2021 (5 July – Oct, 3m)

• 5700 CXRs referred -> 86 had a CT study -> 20 suggestive of cancer

## CXR/CT in within 5 days – only 66% cases

### **Patient Pathway**

Al triage for possible lung ca Radiographer calls duty reporter to report within 30 mins; if agree with AI = same day CT



### **Choosing the AI product – Annalise Enterprise CXR**



#### Can flag >120 pathologies Only 14 were "turned on" specific to lung cancer

**1**.Cavitating mass **2.**Cavitating mass internal content **3.**Diffuse perihilar airspace opacity **4**.Hilar lymphadenopathy 5.Inferior mediastinal mass **6**.Multiple pulmonary masses 7.Pleural mass **8**.Single pulmonary mass **9.**Single pulmonary nodule **10.**Superior mediastinal mass **11.**Focal airspace opacity **12.**Lung collapse **13.**Segmental collapse **14** Diffuse pleural thickening

### **Evaluation of AI**

### We're Here! Still evaluating...



**Primary Outcomes** 

- % patients getting CT within 3 days of CXR
- time to CT Chest (& report) when suspicious lesion on CXR
- Appropriateness of CXR AI triage

### % CT referrals & Turnaround Times

|   | No CXR triage     | Radiographer<br>triage | Al triage              |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Time period                                   | 3 months          | 2 months               | 4 months               |
| Period Dates                                  | 10.7.22 – 9.10.22 | 10.10.22- 18.12.22     | 19.12.22 – 12.4.23     |
| Total CXRs performed                          | 3959              | 3833                   | 7359                   |
| CXRs with possible lung ca (radiology report) | 77 (2%)           | 72 (2%)                | 149 (2%)               |
| CT follow-up same day                         | 3/77 (4%)         | 14/72 (19%)            | <b>47/149 (32%)</b> ↑  |
| CT follow-up within 3 days (72 hours)         | 32/77 (42%)       | 43/72 (44%)            | <b>93/149 (62%)</b> ↑  |
| CT follow-up within 5 days (120 hours)        | 52/77 (68%)       | 57/72 (79%)            | <b>121/149 (81%)</b> ↑ |
| CT follow-up within 30 days                   | 75/77 (97%)       | 68/72 (94%)            | <b>136/149 (91%)</b> ↓ |

### **Turnaround Times** (days, unless specified)

|  | No CXR triage | Radiographer<br>triage | Al triage      |
|--|---------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Time period  | 3 months      | 2 months               | 4 months       |
| Total CXRs performed   | 3959          | 3833                   | 7359           |
| Average time from CXR exam to CT exam (days)                         | 4.8 (0-27)    | 3.6 (0-37)             | 2.5 (0-20) ↓   |
| Average time for CXR report to CT report (days)                      | 5.8 (0-30)    | 4.3 (0-37)             | 4.2 (0–24) ↓   |
| Average time from CXR exam to CXR report (all)                       | 0.9 (0-12)    | 0.6 (0-30)             | 0.4 (0-17) ↓   |
| Average time from CXR exam to CXR report (possible lung cancer)      | 0.8 (0-4)     | 0.6 (0-7)              | 0.2 (0-5) ↓    |
| Average time from CXR exam to CXR report (no finding of lung cancer) | 0.9 (0-12)    | 0.6 (0-30)             | 0.4 (0 − 17) ↓ |







#### AI FEEDBACK

- Normal film (true negative)
- Great pickup
- Significant/bad miss

#### COMMENTS

Submit feedback

### 59yo Male – sent by GP Lung Cancer?

# Imaging incompletely acquired first time

#### 4 differentials brought up by the Al tool

### 4 differential diagnoses flagged – same region



Solitary lung mass

### Cavitating mass (es)

### Solitary lung nodule

# Focal airspace opacity

### **Chest X-Ray**





### AI findings (NOLCP triage findings – 3 months data, courtesy Annalise.ai)

5613 consecutive CXRs referred by GP, between 19.12.2022 to 10.03.2023



### AI findings (NOLCP triage findings)



### AI findings (NOLCP triage findings)

#### Suspected Lung Cancers (Radiologist Report = Ground Truth)

|          | Al +    | AI -     | Total |
|----------|---------|----------|-------|
| Report + | TP: 68  | FN: 48   | 116   |
| Report - | FP: 510 | TN: 4987 | 5497  |
| Total    | 578     | 5035     | 5613  |

| Sensitivity: | 58.6% |
|--------------|-------|
| Specificity: | 90.7% |
| PPV:         | 11.8% |
| NPV:         | 99.1% |
| Accuracy:    | 90.1% |

### **Service Evaluation of AI**



hand experience of an AI tool? And how?

Jun – Aug 2023 Post- Implementation survey

Pre-implementation survey = 45 responses (23% response rate) Implementation survey = 26 responses (13% response rate)

I would be comfortable for an AI tool to be used to diagnose my own CXR if I was referred to hospital.



Specific queries regarding AI tool directed at those reporting CXRs only (n=8)

I enjoy using the AI tool in my reporting sessions



Specific queries regarding AI tool directed at those reporting CXRs only (n=8)

I feel able to recognise the limitations of the AI tool



Specific queries regarding AI tool directed at those reporting CXRs only (n=8)

I feel I am becoming reliant on the AI tool for making CXR decision/diagnoses



- When we send AI positive CXRs to reporters but they don't know what has been flagged.
- Increases work if positive as need to report, sort out CT scan and inform patient of CT. Not everyone can do this. CT not always able to scan. Patient not always willing to wait. Unclear who's responsibility it is.
- AI increasing waiting times for patients in Xray OPD as need to check AI results and wait for report to be issued.
- Too many false positives

### **Future Steps**

### • Currently only 4 months post AI implementation

- To continue until 19 June 2023 (6 months total)
- Results still being regularly analysed lots questions still to answer
- Regular updates and data collection fortnightly
- Expand the use case for the AI tool for urgent CXR findings
- Implement AI tools for CT Chest evaluation of lung cancer

### • Evaluate a case for AI funding – business plan

- Do we same money and time? Does this make financial sense?
- Secured funding to use AI tool for another year (until June 2024)

# Thank you to our wonderful team!

### susan.shelmerdine@gosh.nhs.uk @SusieShels





# INTELLIGENT HEALTH UK \ 2023

Breaking down the barriers between tech and healthcare











